

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/776,651	02/11/2004	Rafail Zubok	532/2x5(F-280 Cont IV)	3384
51640	7590 05/19/2005		EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP			MILLER, CHERYL L	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,, 2011122		•	3738	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/776,651	ZUBOK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Cheryl Miller	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	lav 2005		
	action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	:d.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate 'atent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5[b] 65	6) Other:	,	

Application/Control Number: 10/776,651

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 3, 2005 has been entered. It is noted to the applicant that although the IDS has been enteredd as the submission, no other papers were found attached to the RCE. The claims were finally rejected, however, the applicant did not respond to any of the previous rejections. The previous rejection has been maintained.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: a spelling error exists in line 10. Appropriate correction is required. It is suggested to change "arc" to recite --are--.

Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: It is unclear to the examiner how the concave arcs having curvatures that correspond with the curvatures of the convex arcs (as claimed in 12) when the concave arcs have already been claimed to have larger radii then the convex arcs (as claimed in claim 1), resulting in a possible alteration of the claim. Appropriate correction or clarification is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686

Application/Control Number: 10/776,651

Art Unit: 3738

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 and 15-19 of copending Application No. 10/382,702, and claims 1 and 3-9 of copending Application No. 10/776,434, and claims 1-17 of copending Application No. 10/776,650, and claims 1, 3, 5-13, 15, and 16 of copending Application No. 10/776,471, and claims 1-18 of copending Application No. 10/776,656. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims are either merely broader than the copending application claims, or simply use different terminology to describe the features of the invention, for instance saddle and toroidal both describing a surface with concave and convex arcs, and also, different radii and non-congruent both describing a similar surface. The current application claims 1-20 are merely broader or obvious equivalents of the co-pending application claims. Once applicant has received a patent for a species or a more specific embodiment, and is not entitled to a patent for the generic or broader invention. The more specific "anticipates" the broader. The patented claim "anticipates" the application claim. In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 3738

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-20 would be allowable in the case that the provisional double patenting rejection was overcome, along with the above claim objections.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-4755. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4755. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cheryl Miller

Juf Mill

BRUCE SNOW
PRIMARY EXAMINER